## EXHIBIT B

THE TRANSCRIPT

	Page 1
1	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
2	EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
3	Case No. 22-41452-nhl
4	x
5	In the Matter of:
6	
7	TCN LIBERTY MANAGEMENT INC.,
8	
9	Debtor.
10	x
11	United States Bankruptcy Court
12	271-C Cadman Plaza East
13	Brooklyn, NY 11201
14	
15	February 21, 2023
16	11:00 AM
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	BEFORE:
22	HON NANCY HERSHEY LORD
23	U.S. BANKRUPTCY JUDGE
24	
25	ECRO: UNKNOWN

	Page 2
1	HEARING re [40] Motion for Relief from Stay Fee Amount \$188.
2	Filed by Jonathan B Nelson on behalf of Deutsche Bank
3	National Trust Company, as Trustee for the Registered
4	Holders of CBA Commercial Assets, Small Balance Commercial
5	Mortgage Pass-Through Certificates, Series 2006-2.
6	
7	HEARING re Adj [35] Amended Application to Employ Jacobs
8	P.C. as Debtors Counsel Filed by Ilevu Yakubov on behalf of
9	TCN Liberty Management Inc.
10	
11	HEARING re Adj [18] Status Conference.
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	Transcribed by: Sonva Ledanski Hyde

	Page 3
1	APPEARANCES:
2	
3	DORF & NELSON, LLP
4	Attorneys for U.S. Bank
5	555 Theodore Fremd Avenue, Suite A300
6	Rye, NY 10580
7	
8	BY: AARON WEISSBERG
9	
10	LAW OFFICES OF WAYNE GREENWALD
11	Attorneys for the Debtor
12	475 Park Avenue South, Floor 18
13	New York, NY 10016
14	
15	BY: WAYNE M. GREENWALD
16	
17	OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES TRUSTEE
18	Attorneys for the U.S. Trustee
19	United States Federal Building
20	201 Varick Street
21	New York, NY 10014
22	
23	BY: REEMA LATEEF
2 4	
25	

			Page	4
1	JACOE	BS P.C.		
2		Attorneys for the Debtor		
3		595 Madison Avenue, 39th Floor		
4		New York, NY 10022		
5				
6	BY:	JENNIFER DARTEZ		
7		AARON SLAVUTIN		
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
2 4				
25				

	Page 5
1	PROCEEDINGS
2	CLERK: The next matter is in the cases of TCN
3	Liberty Management Inc. and QVA9 Management
4	THE COURT: Please I Ms. Harold, call these
5	separately, please.
6	CLERK: Okay. Will do. TCN Liberty Management
7	Inc.
8	THE COURT: Appearances.
9	MR. SLAVUTIN: Your Honor, Aaron Slavutin with
10	Jacobs P.C., here on behalf of the Debtor, the
11	(indiscernible) agreement attorney.
12	THE COURT: Go on.
13	MS. LATEEF: Your Honor good morning, Your
14	Honor, Reema Lateef on behalf of the Office of the United
15	States Trustee. Thank you.
16	MR. WEISSBERG: Good morning, Your Honor. Aaron
17	Weissberg from Dorf & Nelson on behalf of Deutsche Bank.
18	MS. DARTEZ: Your Honor, this is Jennifer Dartez.
19	I filed a motion to appear pro hac vice on Thursday. I
20	would like to with Jacobs, P.C. and the Debtor. I would
21	like to appear on the motion to lift the stay hearing. And
22	an order hasn't been entered yet.
23	THE COURT: Okay. And where are you currently
24	admitted?
25	MS. DARTEZ: Louisiana and Washington, D.C.

	Page 6
1	THE COURT: Okay. And you're in good standing?
2	MS. DARTEZ: In both districts because I'm doing
3	bankruptcy last 10 years since I'm in Louisiana and Texas.
4	THE COURT: Okay. I like Louisiana. New Orleans?
5	MS. DARTEZ: Yes.
6	THE COURT: Oh, you're I'm so jealous. Anyway,
7	all right. Yeah, motion to motion for pro hac vice be
8	granted. I'll will I'll enter the order when I see it in
9	my box.
LO	MS. DARTEZ: Thank you, Your Honor.
l1	THE COURT: And you're who are you coming in
L2	for?
L3	MS. DARTEZ: The Debtor, TCN with Jacobs P.C.
L 4	THE COURT: Oh, you're not making it easy for
L 5	yourself. I'll tell you; you've got an uphill battle here,
L 6	I think. All right. So, hang on a minute. Let's let's
L 7	see what I have here. Let's do status first, Ms. Lateef.
L 8	MS. LATEEF: Thank you, Your Honor. Reema Lateef
L 9	on behalf of the Office of United States Trustee. The
20	Debtor is current on operating reports and owes \$250 in
21	quarterly fees. We do have proof of the opening of the
22	Debtor's (indiscernible) insurance. So, the Debtor is in
23	pretty good administrative shape. Thank you, Your Honor.
24	THE COURT: Okay. And insurance, you've got
) E	ingurance Okar Okar And sings the last status bearing

Page 7 1 held on January 17th, the Debtor filed its demanded 2 disclosure statement and Chapter 11 plan dated February 3 14th. And again, I see that your motion was made, Ms. 4 Dartez. Okay. Yeah. 5 The other items on today is the Amended 6 Application to Employ Jacobs P.C. as bankruptcy counsel. 7 And that is on actually for a hearing today. What's the position of the United States Trustee? 8 9 MS. LATEEF: Your Honor, Reema Lateef on behalf of 10 the Office of the United States Trustee. We have -- we 11 don't object. No position. Thank you, Your Honor. 12 THE COURT: Well, that's a position, not 13 objecting. One orally states on presentment, right or on 14 motion? 15 MS. LATEEF: Yes, Your Honor. Yes, but we have no 16 objection. 17 THE COURT: Okay. So, let's see what happens with the case. Well, regardless -- give me a second. All right. 18 19 It's a motion for relief -- so regardless, the motion --20 does anyone else oppose to the application for employ? All 21 right. The motion's granted. You can upload an order 22 because I don't have one. Okay. And now --23 MS. LATEEF: Your Honor, Reema Lateef. Our office Thank you. 24 will upload the order. 25 THE COURT: Okay. Okay. All right. I have an

Page 8 1 opposed motion by the secured creditor, Deutsche Bank 2 National Trust Company as trustees for the registered holders of CBA Commercial Assets, Small Business -- Small 3 4 Balance Commercial Mortgage Pass-Through Certificates, 5 Series 2006-2. Current order granting interim relief --6 well, granting the relief from stay and granting the non-7 relief, but granting the non-relief with respect to the real property owned by the Debtor at 361-G55 Cleveland Street, 8 also known as the 676 Liberty Avenue, Brooklyn, New York. 9 10 Pursuant to 362(g)(4), and also a vacatur of the order of 11 stay pursuant to (g)(1) and (g)(2), and a waiver of the 14 -12 day stay, and whatever else is just and proper. 13 A position was filed by Debtor on February 14th, 14 the Movant replied -- filed a reply on February 17th. 15 Debtor filed a supplemental objection on the evening of 16 February 20. 17 Okay. So, a commercial property. There was one prior filing in 2019 which the case hung around for a long 18 19 time and it was dismissed by stipulation February 6th, 2021. 20 So, again, it's the position of the -- Deutsche that they 21 lack adequate protection and alternatively that there's a 22 lack of equity and the property is not necessary for effective reorganization. And then their position is also 23 24 that the actions taken on the transfer to the corporate 25 entity, again, with the two filings and the timing of those

two filings gives me grounds on just case law and -- for -in the statute -- language of the statute that's interpreted
by the law for (indiscernible). Debtors opposed the motion
on the grounds that Deutsche Bank's interest in the property
is adequate to protect it from delineation in value on
account of normal occupational wear and tear of the
property. And two, if the property's value is diminishing
on account of normal wear and tear, the Debtor proposes that
it makes adequate protection here in the amount of
(indiscernible) diminution. Deutsche failed to make a prima
facie case to modify the automatic stay. And they say
relying on (g)(2) through (g)(4), and the property is
necessary for an effective reorganization.

First Debtor states the (indiscernible) alleges the interest in the property is not adequately protected because the property is subject to normal occupational wear and tear. Debtor asserts the movement must show how the value of the already worn-out property is decreasing.

Debtor's appraisal of the property dated February 7th, 2023 reflects that "in the current state, extensive repairs and renovations must be done in order to actualize the property value". Debtor asserts that the movement fails for evidence that normal occupational wear and tear further diminishes the property's value, and the property requires major remodeling, and cites a 1999 case. There in the -- and the

tenant -- subsequent tenants (indiscernible) remodeled the property.

And then second, the Debtor argues that

(indiscernible) interest in the property is found not to be adequately protected, then the stay should be continued on the condition of Debtor making adequate protection payments on account of occupational wear and tear. The legal fee amounts to be determined by the Court.

Third, the Debtor argues that moving the sale to establish that Debtor has no equity in the property. And I -- again, Debtor asserts that under New York law, a mortgage is a mortgage, and a lien against property which entitles the lienholder to an equitable remedy of foreclosure. Debtor asserts in the instant case, Movant is not (indiscernible) the Debtor, only holds the lien against the Debtor's property. Therefore, Movant's only claim in the bankruptcy is its right to payment through an equitable remedy of foreclosure of Debtor's property, which is very The Movant's claim is limited to the amount of proceeds a foreclosure sale would produce. Debtor argues Movant has not proven that the payment it would receive for a foreclosure sale equals, "the most commercially reasonable disposition of the property," the proper measure of determining whether Debtor has equity in the property. it's citing (indiscernible), it's a Southern District case.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

An Eastern District case -- through its plan then, intends to sell the property through a bankruptcy sale which would yield a greater return than a foreclosure sale.

Four, Debtor argues the property's not necessary for the effective reorganization -- I'm sorry. Debtor argues property is necessary for effective reorganization because the Debtor's proposed plan has a realistic chance of being confirmed and the Debtor lacks -- lack of privity with the Movant is no option for confirming the plan, and that there's nothing in 1123 says (indiscernible) Debtor's required to sell the property on the Debtor being in privity with the lienholder. And then the Debtor argues against the In (indiscernible) relief because it says -- (indiscernible) that the Debtor's act was part of the scheme to delay, hinder, or defraud creditors.

Lastly, Debtor seeks to have sanctions imposed upon Movant, and Debtor's attorney's fees for defending against the motion reimbursed because (indiscernible) motion is not calibrated to any real sense of whether it's entitled to relief based on the tests.

So, let me see if I understand. If I -- first of all, so there -- I'm going to just take a look. So, you've got real estate taxes, taxes on -- small tax on compliance, there's Water Board, the mortgage, some IRS, that's not so big, and Con Ed. So basically, you're talking about -- as

far as IRS would be priority, as the city would be a lien,
Water Board's a lien. You've got basically a \$619 unsecured
claim that would fall here.

So, do I understand the argument to be -- I guess I'll, you know, I'll go over the other reply and stuff. But is the -- is the Debtor -- if the prior owner transfers the property to another entity, in this case the corporation, without the consent of the lender, so it's an -- it's not a proper transfer; and therefore, there's no privity -- that therefore, in the corporate bankruptcy case, they're now a non-recourse and they don't have a deficiency claim. In other words, normally in a case like this, Debtor could not ever prove up that the plan is necessary for effective reorganization because that requires you to have a confirmable plan -- or likely a confirmable plan.

If you have -- is this the case where the Debtor said that the property's, like, worth \$600,000 or something because it's in such terrible shape. Is this -- is this the case, Mr. Weissberg, or the Debtor or whatever? I mean, if it's worth that little in a normal case, then the -- the bank would have the huge deficiency claim, certainly would control the class of unsecured creditors. And the \$613 Con Ed claim would be inconsequential, and there's no -- and there's no other unsecured creditors that I see. And even if there were, you'd still have -- okay.

So, you could never -- if you have lack of equity and you have the property, not necessarily (indiscernible) -- because what happens (indiscernible) cases where the property is under water and a deal can't be made. Right? That motion gets granted. Unless, again, unless you have a deal to sell it in the bankruptcy. But that motion gets granted because creditor says I'm not going to -- I'm going to vote no. So you don't have an impaired class accepting the claim.

So, are you telling me, either one of you, that the company could improperly transfer the property without the consent of the secured creditors -- and again, I remodify loans, and we do things with those type of situations. But are you telling me that because it's now not in privity that creditor -- that the unsecured portion of that creditor's claim can -- doesn't occupied the unsecured class, and that the only right that that creditor has is the right to foreclose, and as long as the property is not deteriorating -- and here -- I don't know if you're saying no (indiscernible), but I'll get to that in a minute -- that that -- that somebody could do that and get around the requirements of the stay motion in the Chapter 11. Is somebody arguing that or am I missing the point?

MS. DARTEZ: Yes, Your Honor. What we're saying is that to relieve the claim of the bank, the creditor is

Page 14 1 equal to its foreclosure value. So, they're not under 2 water. The claim is equivalent to what they could foreclose 3 on. 4 Then you're refusing to recognize that THE COURT: 5 they have a deficiency claim in this bankruptcy because of 6 the transfer? 7 MS. DARTEZ: Not refusing to recognize that there 8 is no deficiency claim, so. 9 THE COURT: Yes. That would refusing to recognize 10 a deficiency claim. And there's no deficiency claim because 11 of an improper transfer by the Debtor -- I mean, prior 12 transferee to the -- transferring to the Debtor. 13 MS. DARTEZ: Lack of privity, yes. 14 THE COURT: Now, I -- yeah, I understand that. 15 But lack of privity by an action that was unauthorized and 16 not authorized by the mortgage. Correct? 17 MS. DARTEZ: The transfer, I believe, was done by 18 a foreclosure sale, I believe. 19 MR. WEISSBERG: Aaron Weissberg, that's incorrect. 20 The -- after we commenced the foreclosure action, 10 months 21 after the foreclosure action was commenced, the Debtor 22 transferred it by deed without our permission to this 23 entity. That was a fraudulent conveyance. It -- then the 24 Debtor, four months later, this Debtor, TLT filed for 25 bankruptcy. That was the first bankruptcy. That bankruptcy

was dismissed. Our lift stay motion was granted in that bankruptcy; the bankruptcy was dismissed.

THE COURT: Well, it was dismissed by stipulation, but -- according to my notes, but okay.

MR. WEISSBERG: And then the foreclosure action continued, and we obtained the final judgment of foreclosure and sale. And then we scheduled a foreclosure sale. And then they filed their second bankruptcy, which is this bankruptcy.

THE COURT: Correct. So, I'm going to reject that argument. You know, I think that -- you know, for a whole host of reasons including that you can't, you know, again, bankruptcy, equity, clean hands, I don't think you can do an improper transfer and then utilize the benefit of the improper transfer where you took yourself -- you took yourself out of privity with your lender to argue that in the transferee bankruptcy, you don't have a deficiency claim because you're not in privity by virtue of the fact -- of an improper act. So, that's -- that's a no.

And if you get an appeals court to say that's not right, I'd love to read the opinion. We respected the reply by Deutsche. Let me see how much of this I got, but maybe not. Movant argues then the argument's now positions are illogical and frivolous. I mean, I got the logic. I might agree with the frivolous part. And that the facts and

Page 16 1 history demonstrate that the instant case is clearly that's 2 what hindered, delayed, and defrauded creditors in use of 3 the bankruptcy sale. That argument might be added to that 4 argument, by the way. But that's lack of an argument. 5 okay. The total asking debt due and owing to Movant as of 6 10/5/22 was 1,876 million, 70.06 as reflected in the 7 worksheet attachment to the moving papers. Deutsche is the 8 owner and holder of the mortgage and underlying note. And 9 based on Movant's appraisal, the property is valued at 1.22 10 million. Movant asserts that there's clearly no equity, 11 whereas Movant is owing excess of 1.8 million. 12 So, is there argument on the lack of equity? 13 Everyone says there's a lack of equity, it's just a question 14 of how big a lack of equity. But in either case, since 15 there are no other secured creditors, the deficiency claim 16 would occupy that class. And so, therefore, you get to the 17 point that you couldn't confirm the plan because -- and let 18 me sure -- Mr. Weissberg, are you going to vote no on a 19 plan? 20 MR. WEISSBERG: I'm going to vote no, you know. 21 You're right, Your Honor. That's correct. 22 I approach, and the Debtor seeking to THE COURT: sell the property, it's only asset, which is a liquidation 23 24 not a reorganization. But conceding the property's not

needed for an effective reorganization -- well, I -- you

know, I could see -- I -- because -- the question -- I guess you're saying that there's no such thing as an effective reorganization if you can't -- if you're going to a liquidation. I don't know if the case law says that because obviously liquidating plans are effective reorganization. I get your point, though. But I -- and that's not what I'm going with.

Moving on, this Debtor admits it's not a functioning business, has no income, no employees, does not operate, a corporate shell, entitled -- or holds title to the real property. Oh, not entitled to -- that holds the property, probably not entitled to hold property -- the title. Again, as an investment, Debtor's corporation was formed on July 9th, 2019. The date the deed was signed over to the Debtor, clearly an effort to stop the foreclosure, you know, and that's what most of these fraud cases look like.

Movant argues Debtor has no realistic ability to confirm a plan, Debtor's not in privity with Movant, consequently Debtor's not able to apply for a loan mod.

Again, Debtor's a corporation. You're the one that, you know, and again, they're not entitled to use the -- use the (indiscernible) that I've got -- and the Court does.

Further Movant argues Debtor had no realistic ability to confirm a plan prior to pay down nearly 2 million in debt

Page 18 1 ultimately, (indiscernible) no employees, no assets, no 2 Movant asserts in its argument that Movant's inability to the value of the property is both illusory and 3 4 illogical because the fee attachment up to the value of what 5 he owed of two of its loans to the extent the property is 6 worth less than what's owed, there is no equity, and nothing 7 left in the estate. We'll note that first, the Debtor has not made any 8 9 adequate protection payments since he filed for bankruptcy 10 on June 22nd, 2022. The property continues to depreciate in 11 value by not being maintained. Their (indiscernible) has 12 the insurance paid for the expense of Movant, and Debtor has 13 not paid real estate taxes since acquiring ownership. I 14 assume they've gotten insurance because (indiscernible) 15 would not (indiscernible) with the U.S. Trustee. And Ms. 16 Lateef, you just reported you have proof of insurance. 17 MS. LATEEF: Your Honor, Reema Lateef on behalf of 18 the Office of the United States Trustees. I am working on 19 it and it's -- the insurance I see is a CGL property 20 insurance that expires on February 3rd, 2024. I will try 21 and pull up a copy of it. But from the page I'm looking at, 22 it does look like current insurance, Your Honor. 23 THE COURT: Obtained by the Debtor. Mr. Slavutin, 24 is that true? 25 MR. SLAVUTIN: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. Yeah, no. So that -- so they do have insurance. But they may not be paying real estate taxes, and obviously that would -- that would deplete your -- make your, you know, lack of equity even greater. All right. So, that was the response. The Debtor's supplemental objection filed last night argues that Deutsche failed to establish they had standing to bring the foreclosure action because it has not shown that Movant was assigned a mortgage note secured by the property. Specifically, Debtor argues CBA's (indiscernible) Funding, LLC's (indiscernible) to Deutsche Bank assigned a note -assigned a note that Pedro Rios executed a stay for CBA through funding, but Deutsche did not produce this note or show the special note is secured by a mortgage. Deutsche Bank evidence was secured and not executed by Pedro Rios, (indiscernible) private funding. We'll go back to this -the stay status in a minute, standing in a minute.

But just so we're clear, again, if we're talking about standing to bring a foreclosure action, that is not for me to decide. That's an argument to made in the state court. If it -- I don't know if it was or wasn't. But that's not the business of this Court. If there's a final judgment of foreclosure and sale, it's -- you know, it's res judicata. Rooker-Feldman precludes me from doing anything with it. And again, but again, that's not my issue.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

I have an issue with to whether they have standing to seek relief from stay. And we'll go through that in a minute. Debtor argues that the mere fact that Deutsche Bank allonge was attached to an allonge before the reassignment of the Schooner note to CBAC is insufficient to establish that Deutsche Bank allonged, which really assigned the Schooner note, and not a note that Pedro Rios executed in favor of CBAC allonge, states at the signing.

Give me a minute. Give me a minute. Let me go back. And so, therefore, Debtor asserts Deutsche Bank has failed to establish standing.

relief, and we look at this issue in every motion for relief, the documents appear to show proper standing. The noted mortgage attached to the motion are executed by Pedro Rios in favor or Schooner Private Funding Corp. The mortgage was first assigned to (indiscernible), then assigned to Deutsche as Trustee for the registered holder of CBA Commercial Assets Small Balance Commercial Mortgage Pass-through Certificate, Series 2006-2, which is our current Movant. There were also two allonges to the note. The first one is pay to the order of CBAC Funding LLC, drawn by a commercial loan executive of Schooner, and the second is endorsed in blank and signed by the executive vice president of CBAC Funding.

Page 21 1 Okay. Service of the motion for relief is timely, 2 proper, and again, we see attached -- we see all the 3 exhibits attached. And they signed it to remove, is the 4 next -- is Exhibit D. And next to Exhibit A there's a copy 5 of an allonge to the note which is endorsed in blank by 6 Movant's predecessor in interest, CBAC. 7 Mr. Weissberg, is your client in possession of the 8 note? 9 MR. WEISSBERG: Yes. THE COURT: You're sure? 10 11 MR. WEISSBERG: Yes. My client -- the loan servicer, PHH, acts as its agent and has evolved, and it's 12 maintained by the loan servicer. But that's acting on 13 14 behalf of the Deutsche --15 THE COURT: Right. So they have -- they have the 16 note. 17 MR. WEISSBERG: They have the note. THE COURT: Okay. You don't see a problem with 18 19 standing? I don't see a problem with standing. Again, I 20 could -- you take me up on appeal. I don't see a problem 21 with standing. 22 Everyone agrees there's a lack of equity. 23 property is not necessary for an effective reorganization in 24 my view because, again, they can't confirm a plan over the 25 objection of Mr. Weissberg because there's a deficiency.

And they can't do an improper transfer to argue that there's no privity and therefore not a deficiency claim.

And we have -- I mean, again, I am -- this was tried before. Okay? And was it that relief from stay was granted and then the case was stipulated to be dismissed by the United States Trustee?

MR. WEISSBERG: Aaron Weissberg. That's correct. That's my understanding.

THE COURT: Okay. This was tried before. Motion for relief was granted, (indiscernible). Okay? Assuming you're going to try it again, the way we try it again is to walk into my court with an argument that we can sell for a higher price. And again, it's not even close. If it's only worth 600,000, whatever it is, you've got to sell it for a much, much, much higher price. But the way you do that as you walk in and you immediately -- you can file a claim or not, but you immediately notice a sale. And that wasn't done here.

Now, we're talking about, okay, we'll sell under

-- we'll sell with the plan. The Movant here hasn't seen a

payment since 2011 on the loan, and not since the bankruptcy

filed in June 2022, and there's no equity cushion. So,

there's no adequate protection. I don't think in good faith

you can walk in now and say, oh, motion for relief. It

looks like a winner; we'll give you adequate protection. I

Page 23 1 don't believe you can do that. 2 I'll hear from everybody before I rule, and then 3 we'll talk about whether this should be in REM or not. 4 Weissberg first. 5 MR. WEISSBERG: Thank you, Your Honor. Your Honor 6 did an excellent job in covering kind of the background in 7 the motion papers. So I -- I'm -- I won't cover the grounds 8 that you've already covered. I'll just try to keep it brief if that makes sense. So, we borrowed a (indiscernible) --9 10 in October 2006, borrowed \$630,000 from Deutsche Bank's 11 predecessor through private funding. There was a note when 12 the mortgage signed, securing the real property. Then there was loan modification after that in 2009 for the new 13 14 principal amount of \$649,041. 15 THE COURT: That was -- that loan mod was with Mr. 16 Rios? 17 MR. WEISSBERG: Yes. That's correct, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: Thank you. 19 MR. WEISSBERG: And the note and the mortgage were 20 ultimately assigned to Deutsche Bank. And in August 1st, 21 2011 the Debtor had -- the borrower had failed -- defaulted 22 by failing to make payments. We have not received a payment since August of the year 2011. They commenced on the 23 24 initial foreclosure in 2012, but that was dismissed, and we 25 recommenced the new foreclosure action in October 3rd, 2018.

Rios -- 10 months after that foreclosure action was commenced, on July 9th, 2019 we were granted titled to the Debtor by deed that was recorded July 16th, 2019. That would be Exhibit F through the motion papers. And then four months later on November 11th, 2019 the Debtor filed a voluntary petition for the Chapter 11 bankruptcy in this Court. On Deutsche Bank's motion, the Court granted the order granting relief from the automatic stay on October 25th, 2020. And that's Exhibit H in the motion.

So thereafter, and it's in state court, the final judgment of foreclosure and sale, dated January 28th, 2022 and entered February 7th, 2022 was entered, directing Deutsche Bank to proceed with the scheduled foreclosure sale. And the foreclosure sale was scheduled for June 23rd, 2022. A notice of sale was served and filed. And on the eve of that foreclosure sale, June 22nd, 2022, TCN Liberty Management filed for a Chapter 11 thereby staying the foreclosure sale. And if we move forward, the Debtor in this case only seeks to sell the property, which is his only asset. It's only seeking to liquidate the property.

There's no -- the corporate entity has no employes, no income. All the schedules and financing that we filed with the bankruptcy court show it has no income, no equity, no assets, and has no realistic ability to confirm a plan of reorganization.

As Your Honor had already indicated, we would absolutely object to any reorganization plan proposed by the Debtor. We're owed \$1,876,007.06. As -- and according to our estimate, the value of the property is \$1,220,000. So, there's clearly no equity left in the property.

In the Debtor's application, they acknowledge that there's no equity and their only intent is to try to sell the property.

THE COURT: And again, I don't know for what purpose. I mean, I'm just -- I mean, it's just totally lost on me. Creditor -- I mean, I don't get it. That's -- you know, again, it would be a sale for your benefit only. And you don't want it. You don't want -- you don't want to -- you're saying, no thank you.

MR. WEISSBERG: Yes. That's exactly right, Your Honor. And in any sale would yield no equity to the estate, and so it makes no sense. It's illogical. The monthly operating reports, and the Exhibit P and Q to our motion papers, also documenting and show that the Debtor has no employees, no income, no assets, nothing, And no effective means of reorganizing. They don't intend, at all, to rent and utilize the property. And based upon the history, which is the (indiscernible) filing, and we should be granted relief from stay as well as interim relief.

I would like to note that the papers that were

Page 26 1 filed yesterday by the Debtor of -- ineffective service by -2 - was without relief of court. It's not appropriate to make a new arguments for the first time in a sur-reply. I 3 4 certainly didn't have an opportunity to address them, but 5 Your Honor did go through them. And then I will say that I 6 agree with everything that Your Honor has indicated. 7 there is no -- the Debtor does not have the ability or the 8 right to challenge whether we have standing to sell the 9 property in foreclosure. We already have a judgment of foreclosure and sale. That's a final judgment. Rooker-10 11 Feldman --12 THE COURT: Right. Well, Rooker-Feldman precludes 13 me from touching that issue. 14 MR. WEISSBERG: Yes, Your Honor. And based on the timing, as far as the interim relief, based on the timing of 15 16 the transfer of the property from the borrower to the 17 Debtor, it was formed literally the day --THE COURT: And I have all that. 18 19 MR. WEISSBERG: Okay. 20 THE COURT: You don't need to argue that. 21 you want to, you know, talk about the -- I mean, I'll --22 that's okay. I've got -- I've got the time. Who wants to 23 speak on behalf of the Debtor? I've gotten more than one --24 more than one box. 25 MS. DARTEZ: I'll start, Your Honor. Jennifer

Dartez on behalf of the Debtor. Your Honor, I'd first like to go back to standing. I think the case rises and falls in standing, which can be raised at any time. We filed an objection to their proof of claim, Claim Number 3-1, for the simple fact that they haven't evidenced in that proof of claim that there is an investment of the mortgage note underlying the Debtor assignment of the mortgage. They may state that the -- Deutsche Bank may state that they're servicers in possession, but I do not see it in the allonges on the proof of claim. They don't have standing, not necessarily for foreclosure but for this bankruptcy. And in fact, (indiscernible) proof of claim.

THE COURT: Yeah, and foreclosure -- standing for the foreclosure is done. Standing for the motion for relief from stay, Mr. Weissberg, I take you on your word before I enter relief. If you haven't put it in the -- if it's not in your affidavit or an affidavit, file an affidavit, indicating that your client or a servicer on your behalf has possession of the note. And anyway -- again, it was -- it was -- it was in blank, in any event. But -- so, it doesn't trouble me. But I'll -- if you want that affidavit before I enter the order, Mr. Weissberg, I'm sure we'll arrange for it. Go ahead.

MS. DARTEZ: All right. Yes, Jennifer Dartez again, Your Honor. We would never reiterate our point of

Page 28 1 view that there is a -- there is not a lack of equity in the 2 property despite any allegations of improper transfer, that 3 their equity is equal to the amount of the foreclosure. 4 That is their amount in bankruptcy. And under a 363 sale, 5 they will -- which typically renders a higher value than in 6 a foreclosure sale, that there is equity in the property. 7 THE COURT: You can go from 600,000 to a 1.8 8 million? You think? Because boy, Ms. Dartez, if you do 9 that, I'll hire you to sell all my real estate. 10 MS. DARTEZ: And then, Your Honor, Jennifer Dartez 11 again. We would oppose any end-run relief in this case. 12 don't think it's fair given the circumstances they're 13 proposing. But we believe that reorganization through the sale would be valuable to the creditors at hand. 14 15 THE COURT: Okay. Well, maybe you should have --16 somebody should have done that in the first bankruptcy right 17 away. Anybody else for the Debtor? No. 18 MR. SLAVUTIN: Nothing here, Your Honor. 19 MR. GREENWALD: Your Honor, Wayne Greenwald just 20 noting my appearance. 21 THE COURT: Oh, okay. We'll add you. From the 22 Debtor, right? 23 MR. GREENWALD: From the Debtor, yes, special 24 counsel -- counsel to --25 THE COURT: Okay. I have a question if somebody

Page 29 1 knows the answer. What was the relationship between Mr. 2 Rios and Mr. Boracoff? Anybody know? 3 MR. GREENWALD: I'm not aware -- (indiscernible), 4 for the Debtor. I'm unaware, Your Honor. 5 THE COURT: Mr. Boracoff is the principal of this 6 case, right? 7 MR. GREENWALD: Correct. THE COURT: Okay. Not Mr. Rios? 8 9 MR. GREENWALD: Correct. 10 THE COURT: Okay. So, Mr. Rios plans for the 11 property to TCN Liberty and you don't know if he had an involvement at the time of the transfer? 12 13 MR. GREENWALD: I don't, Your Honor. 14 THE COURT: Okay. But would he have transferred 15 it for -- what was the consideration? Anybody know? 16 states consideration? 17 MR. GREENWALD: Your Honor, we --18 MR. WEISSBERG: (indiscernible). I'm sorry, I 19 don't --20 MR. GREENWALD: (indiscernible). I don't -- I 21 don't know. 22 THE COURT: Okay. Okay. Motion for relief from 23 stay is granted. The property lacks equity and is not 24 necessary for effectively reorganization by reason of the 25 records, that is what I said earlier because I could not --

Page 30 1 could not confirm a plan over the objection of Mr. 2 Weissberg's client. With respect to a lack of adequate protection, there's also a lack of adequate protection. 3 4 addition to the fact that the property deteriorates, I think 5 (indiscernible). The -- and there hasn't been an adequate 6 protection payment made or any payment made in either of the 7 Chapter 11s and the offers due at the out was way too little 8 way too late. And there -- there is that. 9 With respect to standing, based upon the 10 attachments to the motion, we see that there is standing. Ι 11 don't even believe that based upon the fact that its 12 noticed, endorsed in blank that I need this, but just to put 13 a final, you know, touches on this thing, I'll ask Mr. 14 Weissberg to have a affidavit entered by the whoever is in 15 possession of the note. That could be the servicer. 16 MR. WEISSBERG: Aaron Weissberg, Your Honor. I 17 just notice the relief from stay worksheet on page 5, where 18 it says certification from the business records. 19 THE COURT: It adds it? 20 MR. WEISSBERG: It says, I further certify that 21 the Movant is in possession of the note. 22 Thank you. I didn't know -- I didn't THE COURT: 23 know if you'd filed that in this case, but that's fine. 24 Okay. Then there's no need for the affidavit. On its face, 25 there's no question. That's why we have that worksheet, and

that certification. Okay.

With respect to interim relief, here's what I've got to say. I'll be with you in a second, I'm going to go to Word, let's see. Sorry. Regarding interim relief, here's the relevant time line. On October 12th, 2006 Pedro Rios borrowed the sum of \$630,000 from (indiscernible) private funding corp. and executed a mortgage (indiscernible) for his property in the stated amount registered in Kings County office on December 8th, 2006. That mortgage was assigned to Movant as of October 12th, 2009, recording the same day. On October 21st, 2009, the loan modified to consolidate the remaining unpaid principal balance which made the principal in amount of 694 -- sorry, \$649,041.07. Mr. Rios defaulted in the terms of the mortgage with a payment due August 1st, 2011 and each month thereafter.

(indiscernible) commenced the foreclosure action on October 11th, 2012. The action was ultimately dismissed. Don't know why, but irrelevant. Rios commenced the other foreclosure action on October 3rd, 2018. On July 9th, 2019, Rios granted title to the subject property to the Debtor. I have the answer to my question, in consideration of \$10. That that was the fee of consideration. On November 11th, 2019 Debtor filed his first Chapter 11 petition staying the foreclosure action.

On October 25th, 2020, the Court entered an order granting relief from stay motion for the first case; obviously, because there was a basis to do it. The same court issue a judgment of foreclosure and sale and that's entered February 7th, 2022. Dated January 18th, 2022, was directed to proceed with scheduling a public commercial foreclosure auction and sale. A sale was scheduled for June 23rd, 2022 with notice of sale written, served, and filed back on February 18th, 2022. On the evening of the sale, June 22, 2022, TCN Liberty Management filed the current Chapter 11 Bankruptcy petition staying the sale.

Then we found Mr. Rios first transferred the property to the Debtor about nine months after the second foreclosure action commenced, and then Debtor filed again, (indiscernible). And then Debtor filed its first petition about four months after the property was transferred to it for little to no consideration.

The first bankruptcy stayed the pending foreclosure action after this Court allowed the parties to return to state court, Movant got his judgment for closure and sale. Proceeds to go to sale, but the sale was stayed by the current petition.

So, there are two bankruptcies affecting the real property. And there was a transfer of the property without the consent of the secured creditors, the (indiscernible)

for little or no consideration. Additionally, both petitions were filed bare bones and all positions were corrected each after the filing which indicates that the timing of filing was contingent.

Generally, two filings by themselves are not a basis for my granting interim relief. But I do not believe that's true here. Here, I believe interim relief is appropriate. I have to do something to keep somebody from doing this again because I think they will. I issued the stay once. I've now (indiscernible) the stay twice. And nothing is going to magically change the facts of the case. If you pair the two filings with the transfer of the property, you've got the basis for interim relief.

362(d)(4) provides that with respect to a stay and acts against real property under the subsection A, by a creditor whose claim's secured by an interest in real property.

The Court finds that the filing of the petition is part of scheme to delay, hinder, or defraud creditors that involve either A, transfer all or part ownership of, or other interest in such property without the consent of the secured creditor or court approval, or multiple bankruptcy filings (indiscernible) the real property. 11 U.S.C.

I have both here. In order to establish its entitled to relief pursuant to 352(c)(4)(B), the secured

creditor has the burden of establishing at the time of bankruptcy filing as part of the scheme to hinder, delay, and defraud the bank. In re Lemma, 394 B.R. 315-324 (Bankr. E.D.N.Y. 2008).

The fact that the Debtor has filed multiple bankruptcy cases in and of itself is not sufficient cause for relief under this section. See In re Lemma, 394 B.R. 315-324. However, an attempt to hinder, delay, and defraud can be inferred from the following multiple of bankruptcies when the filings are deficient, strategically timed or otherwise indicate bad faith. In re Montalvo, 416 B.R. 381 (Bankr. E.D.N.Y. 2009), finding six strategically timed deficient bankruptcy filings were sufficient to find that the sixth bankruptcy was part of a scheme to hinder, delay, or defraud and interim was appropriate.

Okay. And then in In Re Richmond, 513 B.R. 34-38 (Bankr. E.D.N.Y. 2014), finding that two bankruptcy filings on the eve of scheduled foreclosure sales means the Debtor was repeatedly attempting to collaterally attack the foreclosure judgment in the property not part of debtor's estate provided sufficient cause to grant interim relief.

Now, with everything I said before and I will tell you that I think that the arguments made here, I have enough without that. But I think the arguments made here show, again, they may be creative, but they're totally frivolous.

That this thing should be (indiscernible) by a bankruptcy court with this kind of a lack of equity, and the idea that the -- an improper action to cause the creditor did not have privity or did not have a deficiency claim -- again, I'm not even going you give you an A for creativity. But I will tell you that that convinces me that if I don't give interim relief this Debtor is going to be back. This Debtor should never have come back this time. And if this Debtor did come back this time, in its very, very first motion could have been a first-day order, should have been a motion to sell.

Because Judge, you know, you're going to get more. But again, it's not even close. We're good here, we're not that good.

So, I don't even believe that -- and who would it even have benefited for? I don't know. It was -- again, there was such a -- this property is so under water, there's no point. It's futile. So, I'll, you know, maybe I'm doing everybody a favor here including the Debtor or the Debtor's principal. But again, not every investment works out. This one didn't work out.

Motion for relief from stay granted, interim relief granted. Mr. Weissberg, upload an order. I don't -- I don't waive the 14-day stay. So, there's no waiver and no fees. So, you won that, Ms. Dartez, no waiver, no fees. And you can upload an order.

	Page 36
1	Again, now, with respect to whether you want to
2	stipulate to dismiss, or U.S. Trustee makes a motion to
3	dismiss, I'll just I'll give you an adjourn date for
4	that. And that is so on the record, the order.
5	CLERK: Judge, there is a claims objection motion
6	on March 28th at 11:00 on TCN. Did we want to use that
7	date?
8	THE COURT: What claim are they objecting to?
9	This one?
10	THE CLERK: I believe so.
11	MR. WEISSBERG: Yes, Your Honor.
12	THE COURT: So you're going to be it's going to
13	be moot. But what's the date?
14	CLERK: March 28th at 11:00.
15	THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you. All
16	right. Now, let's go call the other case.
17	(Whereupon these proceedings were concluded at
18	12:18 PM)
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

		I	Page 37
1	INDEX		
2			
3	RULINGS		
4		Page	Line
5	Motion for relief granted	35	21
6	Motion for interim relief granted	35	22
7			
8			
9			
LO			
L1			
L2			
L3			
L 4			
L5			
L 6			
L 7			
L8			
L9			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

	Page 38
1	CERTIFICATION
2	
3	I, Sonya Ledanski Hyde, certified that the foregoing
4	transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.
5	
6	Sonya M. Leolardi Hyd-
7	
8	Sonya Ledanski Hyde
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	Veritext Legal Solutions
21	330 Old Country Road
22	Suite 300
23	Mineola, NY 11501
24	
25	Date: March 1, 2023

[& - ability] Page 1

&	<b>18</b> 2:11 3:12	<b>21</b> 1:15 37:5	5
	<b>188</b> 2:1	<b>21</b> 1.13 37.3 <b>21st</b> 31:11	_
<b>&amp;</b> 3:3 5:17	<b>18th</b> 32:5,9	<b>22</b> 32:10 37:6	5 30:17
1	<b>1999</b> 9:25	<b>22-41452</b> 1:3	<b>513</b> 34:16
<b>1</b> 8:11 38:25	1st 23:20 31:15		<b>555</b> 3:5
<b>1,220,000</b> 25:4		24:16	<b>595</b> 4:3
<b>1,876</b> 16:6	2	<b>23rd</b> 24:14	6
1,876,007.06.	<b>2</b> 8:11 9:12	32:8	<b>600,000</b> 12:17
25:3	17:25	<b>250</b> 6:20	22:14 28:7
<b>1.22</b> 16:9	<b>20</b> 8:16	<b>25th</b> 24:9 32:1	<b>613</b> 12:22
<b>1.8</b> 16:11 28:7	<b>2006</b> 23:10	<b>271</b> 1:12	<b>619</b> 12:2
<b>10</b> 6:3 14:20	31:5,9	<b>28th</b> 24:11	<b>630,000</b> 23:10
24:1 31:22	<b>2006-2</b> 2:5 8:5		31:6
<b>10/5/22</b> 16:6	20:20	36:6,14	<b>649,041</b> 23:14
<b>10014</b> 3:21	<b>2008</b> 34:4	3	649,041.07.
<b>10016</b> 3:13	<b>2009</b> 23:13	<b>3-1</b> 27:4	31:14
<b>10022</b> 4:4	31:11,11 34:12	<b>300</b> 38:22	<b>676</b> 8:9
<b>10580</b> 3:6	<b>201</b> 3:20	<b>315-324</b> 34:3,8	<b>694</b> 31:13
<b>11</b> 7:2 13:22	<b>2011</b> 22:21	<b>330</b> 38:21	6th 8:19
24:6,17 31:24	23:21,23 31:15	<b>34-38</b> 34:16	7
32:11 33:22	<b>2012</b> 23:24	<b>35</b> 2:7 37:5,6	
<b>11201</b> 1:13	31:18	<b>352</b> 33:23,25	<b>70.06</b> 16:6
<b>1123</b> 11:10	<b>2014</b> 34:17	<b>361</b> 8:8	7th 9:19 24:12
<b>11501</b> 38:23	<b>2018</b> 23:25	<b>362</b> 8:10 33:14	32:5
<b>11:00</b> 1:16 36:6	31:20	<b>363</b> 28:4	8
36:14	<b>2019</b> 8:18	<b>381</b> 34:11	<b>8th</b> 31:9
11s 30:7	17:14 24:2,3,5	<b>394</b> 34:3,7	9
11th 24:5	31:20,24	<b>39th</b> 4:3	
31:18,23	<b>2020</b> 24:9 32:1	<b>3rd</b> 18:20	9th 17:14 24:2
<b>12151</b> 38:6	<b>2021</b> 8:19	23:25 31:20	31:20
<b>12:18</b> 36:18	<b>2022</b> 18:10	4	a
<b>12th</b> 31:5,10	22:22 24:11,12	<b>4</b> 8:10 9:12	<b>a300</b> 3:5
<b>14</b> 8:11 35:23	24:15,16 32:5	33:14,23,25	<b>aa</b> 25:3
<b>14th</b> 7:3 8:13	32:5,8,9,10	<b>40</b> 2:1	<b>aaron</b> 3:8 4:7
<b>16th</b> 24:3	<b>2023</b> 1:15 9:19	<b>416</b> 34:11	5:9,16 14:19
17th 7:18:14	38:25	<b>475</b> 3:12	22:7 30:16
	<b>2024</b> 18:20	3.12	ability 17:18
			17:24 24:24
	Varitant I ac	gal Solutions	

[ability - august] Page 2

26:7	adjourn 36:3	onywoy 6:6	orrongo 27:22
<b>able</b> 17:20	administrative	<b>anyway</b> 6:6 27:19	arrange 27:22 asking 16:5
absolutely 25:2	6:23		asserts 9:17,22
	admits 17:8	appeal 21:20	
accepting 13:8		appeals 15:20	10:11,14 16:10
account 9:6,8	admitted 5:24	<b>appear</b> 5:19,21	18:2 20:10
10:7	affecting 32:23	20:14	asset 16:23
accurate 38:4	affidavit 27:17	appearance	24:20
acknowledge	27:17,17,21	28:20	assets 2:4 8:3
25:6	30:14,24	appearances	18:1 20:19
acquiring	<b>agent</b> 21:12	5:8	24:24 25:20
18:13	<b>agree</b> 15:25	application 2:7	assigned 19:9
act 11:14 15:19	26:6	7:6,20 25:6	19:11,12 20:6
acting 21:13	agreement	<b>apply</b> 17:20	20:17,18 23:20
action 14:15,20	5:11	appraisal 9:19	31:10
14:21 15:5	agrees 21:22	16:9	assignment
19:8,19 23:25	<b>ahead</b> 27:23	approach	27:7
24:1 31:17,18	allegations	16:22	<b>assume</b> 18:14
31:20,25 32:14	28:2	appropriate	assuming
32:19 35:3	alleges 9:14	26:2 33:8	22:10
actions 8:24	allonge 20:4,4	34:15	attached 20:4
acts 21:12	20:8 21:5	approval 33:21	20:15 21:2,3
33:14	allonged 20:6	<b>argue</b> 15:16	attachment
actualize 9:21	allonges 20:21	22:1 26:20	16:7 18:4
actually 7:7	27:9	<b>argues</b> 10:3,9	attachments
add 28:21	allowed 32:19	10:20 11:4,6	30:10
added 16:3	alternatively	11:12 15:23	attack 34:19
addition 30:4	8:21	17:18,24 19:6	attempt 34:8
additionally	amended 2:7	19:10 20:3	attempting
33:1	7:5	arguing 13:23	34:19
address 26:4	<b>amount</b> 2:1 9:9	argument 12:4	attorney 5:11
adds 30:19	10:19 23:14	15:11 16:3,4,4	attorney's
adequate 8:21	28:3,4 31:8,13	16:12 18:2	11:17
9:5,9 10:6 18:9	amounts 10:8	19:20 22:12	attorneys 3:4
22:23,25 30:2	answer 29:1	argument's	3:11,18 4:2
30:3,5	31:22	15:23	<b>auction</b> 32:7
adequately	anybody 28:17	arguments	august 23:20
9:15 10:5	29:2,15	26:3 34:23,24	23:23 31:15

authorized	15:2,2,8,9,13	<b>board</b> 11:24	cases 5:2 13:3
14:16	15:17 16:3	board's 12:2	17:16 34:6
automatic 9:11	18:9 22:21	<b>bones</b> 33:2	cause 34:6,21
24:8	24:6,23 27:11	boracoff 29:2,5	35:3
avenue 3:5,12	28:4,16 32:11	borrowed 23:9	<b>cba</b> 2:4 8:3
4:3 8:9	32:18 33:21	23:10 31:6	19:12 20:19
<b>aware</b> 29:3	34:2,6,13,14	borrower	<b>cba's</b> 19:10
b	34:17 35:1	23:21 26:16	<b>cbac</b> 20:5,8,22
<b>b</b> 1:21 2:2	<b>bare</b> 33:2	<b>box</b> 6:9 26:24	20:25 21:6
33:23,25	<b>based</b> 11:20	<b>boy</b> 28:8	certainly 12:21
<b>b.r.</b> 34:3,7,11	16:9 25:22	brief 23:8	26:4
34:16	26:14,15 30:9	<b>bring</b> 19:7,19	certificate
<b>back</b> 19:16	30:11	brooklyn 1:13	20:20
20:10 27:2	basically 11:25	8:9	certificates 2:5
32:9 35:7,8,9	12:2	<b>building</b> 3:19	8:4
background	<b>basis</b> 32:3 33:6	burden 34:1	certification
23:6	33:13	business 8:3	30:18 31:1
<b>bad</b> 34:11	battle 6:15	17:9 19:22	certified 38:3
<b>balance</b> 2:4 8:4	behalf 2:2,8	30:18	certify 30:20
20:19 31:13	5:10,14,17	$\mathbf{c}$	<b>cgl</b> 18:19
<b>bank</b> 2:2 3:4	6:19 7:9 18:17	c 1:12 3:1 5:1	challenge 26:8
5:17 8:1 12:21	21:14 26:23	33:25 38:1,1	chance 11:7
13:25 19:11,15	27:1,18	cadman 1:12	change 33:11
20:3,6,10	believe 14:17	calibrated	chapter 7:2
23:20 24:13	14:18 23:1	11:19	13:22 24:6,17
27:8 34:3	28:13 30:11	call 5:4 36:16	30:7 31:24
<b>bank's</b> 9:4	33:6,7 35:14	case 1:3 7:18	32:11
23:10 24:7	36:10	8:18 9:1,11,25	circumstances
bankr 34:3,12	benefit 15:14	10:14,25 11:1	28:12
34:17	25:12	12:7,10,12,16	cites 9:25
bankruptcies	benefited	12:19,20 16:1	citing 10:25
32:23 34:9	35:15	16:14 17:4	<b>city</b> 12:1
bankruptcy	<b>big</b> 11:25 16:14	22:5 24:19	<b>claim</b> 10:16,19
1:1,11,23 6:3	<b>blank</b> 20:24	27:2 28:11	12:3,11,21,23
7:6 10:17 11:2	21:5 27:20	29:6 30:23	13:9,16,25
12:10 13:6	30:12	32:2 33:11	14:2,5,8,10,10
14:5,25,25,25		36:16	15:17 16:15
, ,	T T	ral Solutions	

[claim - date] Page 4

22:2,16 27:4,4	<b>con</b> 11:25	convinces 35:6	33:17,21 35:2
27:6,10,12	12:22	<b>copy</b> 18:21	36:8,12,15
35:4 36:8	conceding	21:4	<b>cover</b> 23:7
<b>claim's</b> 33:16	16:24	<b>corp</b> 20:16	covered 23:8
claims 36:5	concluded	31:7	covering 23:6
<b>class</b> 12:22	36:17	corporate 8:24	creative 34:25
13:8,17 16:16	condition 10:6	12:10 17:10	creativity 35:5
<b>clean</b> 15:13	conference	24:21	creditor 8:1
<b>clear</b> 19:18	2:11	corporation	13:7,15,17,25
<b>clearly</b> 16:1,10	<b>confirm</b> 16:17	12:7 17:13,21	25:11 33:15,21
17:15 25:5	17:19,25 21:24	correct 14:16	34:1 35:3
<b>clerk</b> 5:2,6	24:24 30:1	15:10 16:21	creditor's
36:5,10,14	confirmable	22:7 23:17	13:16
cleveland 8:8	12:15,15	29:7,9	creditors 11:15
<b>client</b> 21:7,11	confirmed 11:8	corrected 33:3	12:22,24 13:12
27:18 30:2	confirming	<b>counsel</b> 2:8 7:6	16:2,15 28:14
<b>close</b> 22:13	11:9	28:24,24	32:25 33:18
35:12	consent 12:8	country 38:21	current 6:20
closure 32:20	13:12 32:25	county 31:9	8:5 9:20 18:22
collaterally	33:20	<b>court</b> 1:1,11	20:21 32:10,22
34:19	consequently	5:4,8,12,23 6:1	currently 5:23
<b>come</b> 35:8,8	17:20	6:4,6,11,14,24	cushion 22:22
coming 6:11	consideration	7:12,17,25	d
commenced	29:15,16 31:22	10:8 14:4,9,14	<b>d</b> 5:1 21:4
14:20,21 23:23	31:23 32:17	15:3,10,20	33:14 37:1
24:2 31:17,19	33:1	16:22 17:23	<b>d.c.</b> 5:25
32:14	consolidate	18:23 19:1,21	dartez 4:6 5:18
commercial	31:12	19:22 21:10,15	5:18,25 6:2,5
2:4,4 8:3,4,17	contingent	21:18 22:9,12	6:10,13 7:4
20:19,19,23	33:4	23:15,18 24:7	13:24 14:7,13
32:6	continued 10:5	24:7,10,23	14:17 26:25
commercially	15:6	25:9 26:2,12	27:1,24,24
10:22	continues	26:18,20 27:13	28:8,10,10
company 2:3	18:10	28:7,15,21,25	35:24
8:2 13:11	control 12:22	29:5,8,10,14	date 17:14 36:3
compliance	conveyance	29:22 30:19,22	36:7,13 38:25
11:23	14:23	32:1,4,19,20	30.7,13 30.23
	Varitant Lac		I .

<b>dated</b> 7:2 9:19	<b>debtors</b> 2:8 9:3	deteriorating	doing 6:2
24:11 32:5	december 31:9	13:19	19:24 33:9
day 8:12 26:17	decide 19:20	determined	35:17
31:11 35:10,23	decreasing	10:8	<b>dorf</b> 3:3 5:17
<b>deal</b> 13:4,6	9:18	determining	drawn 20:22
<b>debt</b> 16:5	<b>deed</b> 14:22	10:24	<b>due</b> 16:5 30:7
17:25	17:14 24:3	deutsche 2:2	31:15
<b>debtor</b> 1:9 3:11	defaulted	5:17 8:1,20 9:4	e
4:2 5:10,20	23:21 31:14	9:10 15:22	e 1:21,21 3:1,1
6:13,20,22 7:1	defending	16:7 19:6,11	5:1,1 37:1 38:1
8:8,13,15 9:8	11:17	19:13,14 20:3	<b>e.d.n.y.</b> 34:4,12
9:14,17,22	deficiency	20:6,10,18	34:17
10:3,6,9,10,11	12:11,21 14:5	21:14 23:10,20	earlier 29:25
10:14,15,20,24	14:8,10,10	24:7,13 27:8	east 1:12
11:4,5,8,11,12	15:17 16:15	diminishes	eastern 1:2
11:16 12:6,12	21:25 22:2	9:23	11:1
12:16,19 14:11	35:4	diminishing	easy 6:14
14:12,21,24,24	deficient 34:10	9:7	ecro 1:25
16:22 17:8,15	34:13	diminution	ed 11:25 12:23
17:18,24 18:8	defraud 11:15	9:10	effective 8:23
18:12,23 19:10	33:18 34:3,8	directed 32:6	9:13 11:5,6
20:3,10 23:21	34:15	directing 24:12	12:13 16:25
24:3,5,18 25:3	defrauded 16:2	disclosure 7:2	17:2,5 21:23
25:19 26:1,7	<b>delay</b> 11:14	<b>dismiss</b> 36:2,3	25:20
26:17,23 27:1	33:18 34:2,8	dismissed 8:19	effectively
27:7 28:17,22	34:14	15:1,2,3 22:5	29:24
28:23 29:4	delayed 16:2	23:24 31:18	effort 17:15
31:21,24 32:13	<b>delineation</b> 9:5	disposition	either 13:10
32:14,15 34:5	demanded 7:1	10:23	16:14 30:6
34:18 35:7,7,8	demonstrate	district 1:2	33:19
35:18	16:1	10:25 11:1	employ 2:7 7:6
debtor's 6:22	deplete 19:3	districts 6:2	7:20
9:19 10:16,18	depreciate	documenting	employees 17:9
11:7,10,14,17	18:10	25:19	18:1 25:20
17:13,19,20,21	despite 28:2	documents	employes
19:5 25:6	deteriorates	20:14	24:22
34:20 35:18	30:4		<u> </u>
		ral Solutions	

endorsed 20:24	34:21	failed 9:10	<b>filing</b> 8:18
21:5 30:12	estimate 25:4	19:7 20:11	25:23 33:3,4
<b>enter</b> 6:8 27:16	<b>eve</b> 24:16	23:21	33:17 34:2
27:22	34:18	<b>failing</b> 23:22	<b>filings</b> 8:25 9:1
entered 5:22	evening 8:15	<b>fails</b> 9:22	33:5,12,22
24:12,12 30:14	32:9	<b>fair</b> 28:12	34:10,13,17
32:1,5	<b>event</b> 27:20	<b>faith</b> 22:23	<b>final</b> 15:6
entitled 11:19	everybody	34:11	19:22 24:10
17:10,11,12,22	23:2 35:18	<b>fall</b> 12:3	26:10 30:13
33:25	evidence 9:22	<b>falls</b> 27:2	financing
entitles 10:13	19:15	<b>far</b> 12:1 26:15	24:22
entity 8:25	evidenced 27:5	<b>favor</b> 20:8,16	<b>find</b> 34:13
12:7 14:23	evolved 21:12	35:18	<b>finding</b> 34:12
24:21	exactly 25:15	february 1:15	34:17
equal 14:1 28:3	excellent 23:6	7:2 8:13,14,16	<b>finds</b> 33:17
equals 10:22	excess 16:11	8:19 9:19	<b>fine</b> 30:23
equitable	executed 19:12	18:20 24:12	<b>first</b> 6:17 9:14
10:13,17	19:15 20:7,15	32:5,9	11:21 14:25
equity 8:22	31:7	<b>federal</b> 3:19	18:8 20:17,22
10:10,24 13:1	executive	<b>fee</b> 2:1 10:7	23:4 26:3 27:1
15:13 16:10,12	20:23,24	18:4 31:23	28:16 31:24
16:13,14 18:6	exhibit 21:4,4	<b>fees</b> 6:21 11:17	32:2,12,15,18
19:4 21:22	24:4,9 25:18	35:24,24	35:9,10
22:22 24:24	exhibits 21:3	<b>feldman</b> 19:24	<b>floor</b> 3:12 4:3
25:5,7,16 28:1	expense 18:12	26:11,12	<b>following</b> 34:9
28:3,6 29:23	expires 18:20	<b>file</b> 22:16 27:17	foreclose 13:18
35:2	extensive 9:20	<b>filed</b> 2:2,8 5:19	14:2
equivalent	extent 18:5	7:1 8:13,14,15	foreclosure
14:2	f	14:24 15:8	10:14,18,20,22
establish 10:10	<b>f</b> 1:21 24:4 38:1	18:9 19:6	11:3 14:1,18
19:7 20:5,11	face 30:24	22:22 24:5,15	14:20,21 15:5
33:24	facie 9:11	24:17,23 26:1	15:6,7 17:15
establishing	fact 15:18 20:3	27:3 30:23	19:8,19,23
34:1	27:5,12 30:4	31:24 32:8,10	23:24,25 24:1
estate 11:23	30:11 34:5	32:14,15 33:2	24:11,13,14,16
18:7,13 19:2	facts 15:25	34:5	24:18 26:9,10
25:16 28:9	33:11		27:11,13,14
	33,11		

-	-		٥
28:3,6 31:17	gives 9:1	grounds 9:1,4	7:11,15,23
31:20,25 32:4	<b>go</b> 5:12 12:5	23:7	13:24 16:21
32:7,14,19	19:16 20:2,9	guess 12:4 17:1	18:17,22 23:5
34:18,20	26:5 27:2,23	h	23:5,17 25:1
foregoing 38:3	28:7 31:3	<b>h</b> 24:9	25:16 26:5,6
<b>formed</b> 17:14	32:21 36:16	hac 5:19 6:7	26:14,25 27:1
26:17	<b>going</b> 11:22		27:25 28:10,18
forward 24:18	13:7,7 15:10	hand 28:14	28:19 29:4,13
<b>found</b> 10:4	16:18,20 17:3	hands 15:13	29:17 30:16
32:12	17:7 22:11	hang 6:16	36:11
<b>four</b> 11:4 14:24	31:3 33:11	<b>happens</b> 7:17 13:3	host 15:12
24:4 32:16	35:5,7,11		<b>huge</b> 12:21
<b>fraud</b> 17:16	36:12,12	harold 5:4 hear 23:2	<b>hung</b> 8:18
fraudulent	<b>good</b> 5:13,16		<b>hyde</b> 2:25 38:3
14:23	6:1,23 22:23	hearing 2:1,7 2:11 5:21 6:25	38:8
fremd 3:5	35:12,13	7:7	i
frivolous 15:24	gotten 18:14	held 7:1	idea 35:2
15:25 34:25	26:23		
functioning	<b>grant</b> 34:21	hershey 1:22 higher 22:13	ilevu 2:8
17:9	granted 6:8	22:15 28:5	<b>illogical</b> 15:24 18:4 25:17
<b>funding</b> 19:10	7:21 13:5,7	hinder 11:15	illusory 18:3
19:13,16 20:16	15:1 22:5,10	33:18 34:2,8	immediately
20:22,25 23:11	24:2,7 25:23	34:14	22:16,17
31:7	29:23 31:21	hindered 16:2	<b>impaired</b> 13:8
further 9:23	35:21,22 37:5	hire 28:9	_
17:24 30:20	37:6		imposed 11:16 improper
<b>futile</b> 35:17	granting 8:5,6	<b>history</b> 16:1 25:22	14:11 15:14,15
g	8:6,7 24:8 32:2	hold 17:12	15:19 22:1
g 5:1 8:10,11	33:6	holder 16:8	28:2 35:3
8:11 9:12,12	greater 11:3	20:18	improperly
· ·	19:4	holders 2:4 8:3	13:11
<b>g55</b> 8:8 <b>generally</b> 33:5	greenwald	holds 10:15	inability 18:3
give 7:18 20:9	3:10,15 28:19	17:10,11	including
20:9 22:25	28:19,23 29:3	hon 1:22	15:12 35:18
35:5,6 36:3	29:7,9,13,17	honor 5:9,13	income 17:9
given 28:12	29:20	5:14,16,18	18:2 24:22,23
given 20.12		6:10,18,23 7:9	25:20
			23.20
	Varitant I ac	pal Solutions	

inconsequent	intends 11:1	jonathan 2:2	35:2	
12:23	intent 25:7	<b>judge</b> 1:23	lacks 11:8	
incorrect 14:19	interest 9:4,15	35:11 36:5	29:23	
indicate 34:11	10:4 21:6	judgment 15:6	language 9:2	
indicated 25:1	33:16,20	19:23 24:11	<b>lastly</b> 11:16	
26:6	interim 8:5	26:9,10 32:4	late 30:8	
indicates 33:3	25:24 26:15	32:20 34:20	lateef 3:23 5:13	
indicating	31:2,4 33:6,7	judicata 19:24	5:14 6:17,18	
27:18	33:13 34:15,21	<b>july</b> 17:14 24:2	6:18 7:9,9,15	
indiscernible	35:6,21 37:6	24:3 31:20	7:23,23 18:16	
5:11 6:22 9:3	interpreted 9:2	<b>june</b> 18:10	18:17,17	
9:10,14 10:1,4	investment	22:22 24:14,16	<b>law</b> 3:10 9:1,3	
10:15,25 11:10	17:13 27:6	32:7,10	10:11 17:4	
11:13,13,18	35:19	k	ledanski 2:25	
13:2,3,20	<b>involve</b> 33:19	keep 23:8 33:8	38:3,8	
17:23 18:1,11	involvement	kind 23:6 35:2	<b>left</b> 18:7 25:5	
18:14,15 19:10	29:12	kings 31:9	<b>legal</b> 10:7	
19:11,16 20:17	irrelevant	know 12:5	38:20	
22:10 23:9	31:19	13:19 15:11,11	lemma 34:3,7	
25:23 27:12	irs 11:24 12:1	15:19 15:11,11	lender 12:8	
29:3,18,20	<b>issue</b> 19:25	17:1,4,16,22	15:16	
30:5 31:6,8,17	20:1,13 26:13	19:4,21,23	<b>liberty</b> 1:7 2:9	
32:15,25 33:10	32:4	25:9,12 26:20	5:3,6 8:9 24:16	
33:22 35:1	issued 33:9	26:21 29:2,11	29:11 32:10	
ineffective 26:1	items 7:5	29:15,21 30:13	<b>lien</b> 10:12,15	
inferred 34:9	j	30:22,23 31:19	12:1,2	
initial 23:24	jacobs 2:7 4:1	35:11,15,17	lienholder	
instant 10:14	5:10,20 6:13	known 8:9	10:13 11:12	
16:1	7:6	knows 29:1	<b>lift</b> 5:21 15:1	
insufficient	january 7:1	1 1 NIIUWS 27.1	likely 12:15	
20:5	24:11 32:5	1	limited 10:19	
insurance 6:22	<b>jealous</b> 6:6	lack 8:21,22	<b>line</b> 31:5 37:4	
6:24,25 18:12	jennifer 4:6	11:8 13:1	liquidate 24:20	
18:14,16,19,20	5:18 26:25	14:13,15 16:4	liquidating	
18:22 19:2	27:24 28:10	16:12,13,14	17:5	
<b>intend</b> 25:21	<b>job</b> 23:6	19:4 21:22	liquidation	
	Jun 23.0	28:1 30:2,3	16:23 17:4	
Veritant Legal Colutions				

[literally - new] Page 9

literally 26:17	<b>major</b> 9:24	<b>month</b> 31:15	20:21 22:20
<b>little</b> 12:20	<b>make</b> 9:10 19:4	monthly 25:17	30:21 31:10
30:7 32:17	23:22 26:2	<b>months</b> 14:20	32:20
33:1	<b>makes</b> 9:9 23:9	14:24 24:1,5	<b>movant's</b> 10:16
<b>llc</b> 20:22	25:17 36:2	32:13,16	10:19 16:9
<b>llc's</b> 19:11	making 6:14	<b>moot</b> 36:13	18:2 21:6
<b>llp</b> 3:3	10:6	morning 5:13	<b>move</b> 24:18
loan 17:20	management	5:16	movement
20:23 21:11,13	1:7 2:9 5:3,3,6	mortgage 2:5	9:17,22
22:21 23:13,15	24:17 32:10	8:4 10:12,12	<b>moving</b> 10:9
31:12	<b>march</b> 36:6,14	11:24 14:16	16:7 17:8
<b>loans</b> 13:13	38:25	16:8 19:9,14	multiple 33:21
18:5	<b>matter</b> 1:5 5:2	20:15,17,19	34:5,9
<b>logic</b> 15:24	<b>mean</b> 12:19	23:12,19 27:6	n
long 8:18 13:18	14:11 15:24	27:7 31:7,10	<b>n</b> 3:1 5:1 37:1
look 11:22	22:3 25:10,10	31:15	38:1
17:16 18:22	25:11 26:21	motion 2:1	nancy 1:22
20:13	means 25:21	5:19,21 6:7,7	national 2:3
<b>looked</b> 20:12	34:18	7:3,14,19,19	8:2
looking 18:21	measure 10:23	8:1 9:3 11:18	nearly 17:25
looks 22:25	mere 20:3	11:18 13:5,6	necessarily
<b>lord</b> 1:22	<b>million</b> 16:6,10	13:22 15:1	13:2 27:11
<b>lost</b> 25:10	16:11 17:25	20:12,13,15	necessary 8:22
louisiana 5:25	28:8	21:1 22:9,24	9:13 11:4,6
6:3,4	mineola 38:23	23:7 24:4,7,9	12:13 21:23
<b>love</b> 15:21	minute 6:16	25:18 27:14	29:24
m	13:20 19:17,17	29:22 30:10	need 26:20
<b>m</b> 3:15	20:3,9,9	32:2 35:9,10	30:12,24
made 7:3 13:4	<b>missing</b> 13:23	35:21 36:2,5	needed 16:25
18:8 19:20	<b>mod</b> 17:20	37:5,6	nelson 2:2 3:3
30:6,6 31:13	23:15	motion's 7:21	5:17
34:23,24	modification	movant 8:14	<b>never</b> 13:1
madison 4:3	23:13	10:14,21 11:9	27:25 35:8
magically	modified 31:12	11:17 15:23	new 1:2 3:13
33:11	<b>modify</b> 9:11	16:5,10,11	3:21 4:4 6:4
maintained	montalvo	17:18,19,24	8:9 10:11
18:11 21:13	34:11	18:2,12 19:8	23:13,25 26:3
10.11 21.13		ral Calutions	23.13,23 20.3

[nhl - plan] Page 10

<b>nhl</b> 1:3	30:1 36:5	operate 17:10	<b>paid</b> 18:12,13
<b>night</b> 19:6	obtained 15:6	operating 6:20	<b>pair</b> 33:12
<b>nine</b> 32:13	18:23	25:18	papers 16:7
<b>non</b> 8:6,7 12:11	<b>obviously</b> 17:5	opinion 15:21	23:7 24:4
<b>normal</b> 9:6,8	19:3 32:3	opportunity	25:19,25
9:16,23 12:20	occupational	26:4	<b>park</b> 3:12
normally 12:12	9:6,16,23 10:7	oppose 7:20	<b>part</b> 11:14
<b>note</b> 16:8 18:8	occupied 13:16	28:11	15:25 33:18,19
19:9,11,12,13	<b>occupy</b> 16:16	opposed 8:1	34:2,14,20
19:14 20:5,7,7	october 23:10	9:3	parties 32:19
20:21 21:5,8	23:25 24:8	option 11:9	pass 2:5 8:4
21:16,17 23:11	31:5,10,11,18	orally 7:13	20:20
23:19 25:25	31:20 32:1	<b>order</b> 5:22 6:8	<b>pay</b> 17:25
27:6,19 30:15	offers 30:7	7:21,24 8:5,10	20:22
30:21	<b>office</b> 3:17 5:14	9:21 20:22	paying 19:2
<b>noted</b> 20:15	6:19 7:10,23	24:8 27:22	payment 10:17
<b>notes</b> 15:4	18:18 31:9	32:1 33:24	10:21 22:21
<b>notice</b> 22:17	offices 3:10	35:10,22,25	23:22 30:6,6
24:15 30:17	<b>oh</b> 6:6,14 17:11	36:4	31:15
32:8	22:24 28:21	orleans 6:4	payments 10:6
noticed 30:12	<b>okay</b> 5:6,23 6:1	<b>owed</b> 18:5,6	18:9 23:22
<b>noting</b> 28:20	6:4,24,25,25	25:3	<b>pedro</b> 19:12,15
<b>novel</b> 10:19	7:4,17,22,25	<b>owes</b> 6:20	20:7,15 31:5
november 24:5	7:25 8:17	<b>owing</b> 16:5,11	pending 32:18
31:23	12:25 15:4	owned 8:8	permission
number 27:4	16:5 19:1 21:1	owner 12:6	14:22
<b>ny</b> 1:13 3:6,13	21:18 22:4,9	16:8	<b>petition</b> 24:6
3:21 4:4 38:23	22:10,19 26:19	ownership	31:24 32:11,15
0	26:22 28:15,21	18:13 33:19	32:22 33:17
o 1:21 5:1 38:1	28:25 29:8,10	p	petitions 33:2
object 7:11	29:14,22,22	<b>p</b> 3:1,1 5:1	<b>phh</b> 21:12
25:2	30:24 31:1	25:18	<b>plan</b> 7:2 11:1,7
objecting 7:13	34:16 36:15	<b>p.c.</b> 2:8 4:1	11:9 12:13,15
36:8	<b>old</b> 38:21	5:10,20 6:13	12:15 16:17,19
objection 7:16	once 33:10	7:6	17:19,25 21:24
8:15 19:6	opening 6:21	<b>page</b> 18:21	22:20 24:25
21:25 27:4		30:17 37:4	25:2 30:1

-			•
<b>plans</b> 17:5	<b>privity</b> 11:8,11	26:9,16 28:2,6	30:25 31:22
29:10	12:9 13:15	29:11,23 30:4	<b>qva9</b> 5:3
<b>plaza</b> 1:12	14:13,15 15:16	31:8,21 32:13	r
please 5:4,5	15:18 17:19	32:16,24,24	r 1:21 3:1 5:1
<b>pm</b> 36:18	22:2 35:4	33:13,15,16,20	38:1
<b>point</b> 13:23	<b>pro</b> 5:19 6:7	33:22 34:20	raised 27:3
16:17 17:6	probably 17:12	35:16	read 15:21
27:25 35:17	problem 21:18	property's 9:7	real 8:7 11:19
portion 13:15	21:19,20	9:24 11:4	11:23 17:11
<b>position</b> 7:8,11	proceed 24:13	12:17 16:24	18:13 19:2
7:12 8:13,20	32:6	proposed 11:7	23:12 28:9
8:23	proceedings	25:2	32:23 33:15,16
positions 15:23	36:17 38:4	proposes 9:8	33:22
33:2	proceeds 10:20	proposing	realistic 11:7
possession 21:7	32:21	28:13	17:18,24 24:24
27:9,19 30:15	produce 10:20	protect 9:5	really 20:6
30:21	19:13	protected 9:15	reason 29:24
precludes	<b>proof</b> 6:21	10:5	reasonable
19:24 26:12	18:16 27:4,5	<b>protection</b> 8:21	10:22
predecessor	27:10,12	9:9 10:6 18:9	reasons 15:12
21:6 23:11	proper 8:12	22:23,25 30:3	reassignment
presentment	10:23 12:9	30:3,6	20:4
7:13	20:14 21:2	<b>prove</b> 12:13	receive 10:21
president	property 8:8	<b>proven</b> 10:21	received 23:22
20:25	8:17,22 9:4,7	provided 34:21	recognize 14:4
pretty 6:23	9:12,15,16,18	provides 33:14	14:7,9
<b>price</b> 22:13,15	9:19,21,24	public 32:6	recommenced
<b>prima</b> 9:10	10:2,4,10,12	<b>pull</b> 18:21	23:25
principal 23:14	10:16,18,23,24	purpose 25:10	record 36:4
29:5 31:12,13	11:2,6,11 12:7	pursuant 8:10	38:4
35:19	13:2,4,11,18	8:11 33:25	recorded 24:3
<b>prior</b> 8:18 12:6	16:9,23 17:11	<b>put</b> 27:16	recording
14:11 17:25	17:12,12 18:3	30:12	31:11
priority 12:1	18:5,10,19	q	records 29:25
private 19:16	19:9 21:23	quarterly 6:21	30:18
20:16 23:11	23:12 24:19,20	quarterly 0.21 question 16:13	recourse 12:11
31:7	25:4,5,8,22	17:1 28:25	10001150 12.11

[reema - secured] Page 12

reema 3:23	remaining	res 19:23	15:7,7 16:3
5:14 6:18 7:9	31:12	respect 8:7	19:23 22:17
7:23 18:17	<b>remedy</b> 10:13	30:2,9 31:2	24:11,14,14,15
reflected 16:6	10:18	33:14 36:1	24:16,18 25:12
reflects 9:20	remodeled	respected	25:16 26:10
refusing 14:4,7	10:1	15:21	28:4,6,14 32:4
14:9	remodeling	response 19:5	32:7,7,8,9,11
regarding 31:4	9:25	return 11:3	32:21,21,21
regardless 7:18	remodify 13:13	32:20	<b>sales</b> 34:18
7:19	remove 21:3	richmond	sanctions
registered 2:3	renders 28:5	34:16	11:16
8:2 20:18 31:9	renovations	<b>right</b> 6:7,16	<b>saying</b> 13:20
reimbursed	9:21	7:13,18,21,25	13:24 17:2
11:18	rent 25:21	10:17 13:4,17	25:14
reiterate 27:25	reorganization	13:18 15:21	says 11:10,13
<b>reject</b> 15:10	8:23 9:13 11:5	16:21 19:5	13:7 16:13
relationship	11:6 12:14	20:12 21:15	17:4 30:18,20
29:1	16:24,25 17:3	25:15 26:8,12	scheduled 15:7
relevant 31:5	17:5 21:23	27:24 28:16,22	24:13,14 32:7
<b>relief</b> 2:1 7:19	24:25 25:2	29:6 36:15,16	34:18
8:5,6,7,7 11:13	28:13 29:24	<b>rios</b> 19:12,15	schedules
11:20 20:2,13	reorganizing	20:7,16 23:16	24:22
20:14 21:1	25:21	24:1 29:2,8,10	scheduling
22:4,10,24	repairs 9:20	31:6,14,19,21	32:6
24:8 25:24,24	repeatedly	32:12	<b>scheme</b> 11:14
26:2,15 27:14	34:19	<b>rises</b> 27:2	33:18 34:2,14
27:16 28:11	replied 8:14	<b>road</b> 38:21	schooner 20:5
29:22 30:17	<b>reply</b> 8:14 12:5	rooker 19:24	20:7,16,23
31:2,4 32:2	15:21 26:3	26:10,12	second 7:18
33:6,7,13,25	reported 18:16	<b>rule</b> 23:2	10:3 15:8
34:7,21 35:7	reports 6:20	rulings 37:3	20:23 31:3
35:21,22 37:5	25:18	<b>run</b> 28:11	32:13
37:6	required 11:11	<b>rye</b> 3:6	section 34:7
relieve 13:25	requirements	s	secured 8:1
relying 9:12	13:22	s 3:1 5:1	13:12 16:15
<b>rem</b> 23:3	requires 9:24	sale 10:9,20,22	19:9,14,15
	12:14	11:2,3 14:18	32:25 33:16,21

[secured - tcn] Page 13

22.25	-lII 17.10	-4 <b>1</b> !	-4:1-4-1 22.5
33:25	shell 17:10	standing 6:1	stipulated 22:5
securing 23:12	show 9:17	19:7,17,19	stipulation
see 6:8,17 7:3	19:14 20:14	20:1,11,14	8:19 15:3
7:17 11:21	24:23 25:19	21:19,19,21	stop 17:15
12:24 15:22	34:24	26:8 27:2,3,10	strategically
17:1 18:19	<b>shown</b> 19:8	27:13,14 30:9	34:10,12
21:2,2,18,19	signature 38:6	30:10	<b>street</b> 3:20 8:8
21:20 27:9	signed 17:14	start 26:25	<b>stuff</b> 12:5
30:10 31:4	20:24 21:3	<b>state</b> 9:20	subject 9:16
34:7	23:12	19:20 24:10	31:21
seek 20:2	signing 20:8	27:8,8 32:20	subsection
seeking 16:22	simple 27:5	stated 31:8	33:15
24:20	situations	statement 7:2	subsequent
seeks 11:16	13:14	states 1:1,11	10:1
24:19	<b>six</b> 34:12	3:17,19 5:15	sufficient 34:6
seen 22:20	<b>sixth</b> 34:14	6:19 7:8,10,13	34:13,21
<b>sell</b> 11:2,11	slavutin 4:7	9:14 18:18	<b>suite</b> 3:5 38:22
13:6 16:23	5:9,9 18:23,25	20:8 22:6	<b>sum</b> 31:6
22:12,14,19,20	28:18	29:16	supplemental
24:19 25:7	<b>small</b> 2:4 8:3,3	status 2:11	8:15 19:6
26:8 28:9	11:23 20:19	6:17,25 19:17	sur 26:3
35:10	solutions 38:20	statute 9:2,2	<b>sure</b> 16:18
<b>sense</b> 11:19	somebody	stay 2:1 5:21	21:10 27:22
23:9 25:17	13:21,23 28:16	8:6,11,12 9:11	t
separately 5:5	28:25 33:8	10:5 13:22	t 38:1,1
series 2:5 8:5	sonya 2:25	15:1 19:12,17	take 11:22
20:20	38:3,8	20:2 22:4 24:8	21:20 27:15
<b>served</b> 24:15	<b>sorry</b> 11:5	25:24 27:15	taken 8:24
32:8	29:18 31:4,13	29:23 30:17	taken 8.24 talk 23:3 26:21
service 21:1	<b>south</b> 3:12	32:2 33:10,10	talking 11:25
26:1	southern 10:25	33:14 35:21,23	19:18 22:19
servicer 21:12	<b>speak</b> 26:23	<b>stayed</b> 32:18	tax 11:23
21:13 27:18	special 19:14	32:21	tax 11.23 taxes 11:23,23
30:15	28:23	staying 24:17	18:13 19:3
servicers 27:9	specifically	31:24 32:11	ten 1:7 2:9 5:2
<b>shape</b> 6:23	19:10	stipulate 36:2	
12:18		_	5:6 6:13 24:16
			29:11 32:10

[tcn - waiver] Page 14

			_
36:6	<b>title</b> 17:10,13	18:15 20:18	6:19 7:8,10
tear 9:6,8,17	31:21	22:6 36:2	18:18 22:6
9:23 10:7	titled 24:2	trustees 8:2	unknown 1:25
tell 6:15 34:22	<b>tlt</b> 14:24	18:18	unpaid 31:12
35:6	today 7:5,7	<b>try</b> 18:20 22:11	unsecured 12:2
<b>telling</b> 13:10	took 15:15,15	22:11 23:8	12:22,24 13:15
13:14	<b>total</b> 16:5	25:7	13:17
tenant 10:1	totally 25:10	<b>twice</b> 33:10	uphill 6:15
tenants 10:1	34:25	<b>two</b> 8:25 9:1,7	<b>upload</b> 7:21,24
terms 31:14	touches 30:13	18:5 20:21	35:22,25
terrible 12:18	touching 26:13	32:23 33:5,12	use 16:2 17:22
tests 11:20	transcribed	34:17	17:22 36:6
texas 6:3	2:25	<b>type</b> 13:13	utilize 15:14
<b>thank</b> 5:15	transcript 38:4	typically 28:5	25:22
6:10,18,23	transfer 8:24	u	V
7:11,24 23:5	12:9 13:11	<b>u.s.</b> 1:23 3:4,18	vacatur 8:10
23:18 25:14	14:6,11,17	18:15 36:2	valuable 28:14
30:22 36:15	15:14,15 22:1	<b>u.s.c.</b> 33:22	value 9:5,7,18
theodore 3:5	26:16 28:2	ultimately 18:1	9:22,24 14:1
<b>thing</b> 17:2	29:12 32:24	23:20 31:18	18:3,4,11 25:4
30:13 35:1	33:12,19	unauthorized	28:5
<b>things</b> 13:13	transferee	14:15	valued 16:9
<b>think</b> 6:16	14:12 15:17	unaware 29:4	varick 3:20
15:11,13 22:23	transferred	<b>under</b> 10:11	veritext 38:20
27:2 28:8,12	14:22 29:14	13:4 14:1	vice 5:19 6:7
30:4 33:9	32:12,16	22:19 28:4	20:24
34:23,24	transferring	33:15 34:7	view 21:24
third 10:9	14:12	35:16	28:1
thursday 5:19	transfers 12:6	underlying	virtue 15:18
time 8:19 26:3	tried 22:4,9	16:8 27:7	voluntary 24:6
26:22 27:3	trouble 27:21	understand	<b>vote</b> 13:8 16:18
29:12 31:5	true 18:24 33:7	11:21 12:4	16:20
34:1 35:8,9	38:4	14:14	W
timed 34:10,12	trust 2:3 8:2	understanding	waive 35:23
timely 21:1	trustee 2:3	22:8	waive 33:23 waiver 8:11
timing 8:25	3:17,18 5:15	<b>united</b> 1:1,11	
26:15,15 33:4	6:19 7:8,10	3:17,19 5:14	35:23,24

[walk - york] Page 15

-	
walk 22:12,16	working 18:18
22:24	works 35:19
want 25:13,13	worksheet 16:7
25:13 26:21	30:17,25
27:21 36:1,6	<b>worn</b> 9:18
wants 26:22	worth 12:17,20
washington	18:6 22:14
5:25	written 32:8
<b>water</b> 11:24	X
12:2 13:4 14:2	<b>x</b> 1:4,10 37:1
35:16	
way 16:4 22:11	<b>y</b>
22:15 30:7,8	yakubov 2:8
wayne 3:10,15	<b>yeah</b> 6:7 7:4
28:19	14:14 19:1
<b>wear</b> 9:6,8,16	27:13
9:23 10:7	year 23:23
weissberg 3:8	years 6:3
5:16,17 12:19	yesterday 26:1
14:19,19 15:5	yield 11:3
16:18,20 21:7	25:16
21:9,11,17,25	york 1:2 3:13
22:7,7 23:4,5	3:21 4:4 8:9
23:17,19 25:15	10:11
26:14,19 27:15	
27:22 29:18	
30:14,16,16,20	
35:22 36:11	
weissberg's	
30:2	
winner 22:25	
won 35:24	
<b>word</b> 27:15	
31:4	
<b>words</b> 12:12	
work 35:20	